



Market Share Offer Control 2011

June 24, 2011



Table of Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Assessment of Market Share Offer Control 2011	2
3	2010 – 2011 Offer Control Comparison	3
4	Future Updates	4
App	pendix A: Detailed Offer Control	5
	List of Tables and Figures	
Tab	le 2.1: Market Share Offer Control (April 21, 2011)	2
Tab	le 3.1: Year to Year Comparison	3
Tab	le A.1: ATCO	5
Tab	le A.2: Balancing Pool	6
Tab	le A.3: Capital Power	6
Tab	le A.4: ENMAX	7
Tab	le A.5: TransAlta	8
TP - 1-1	la A (, Tuan aCan a la	0

1 Introduction

Section 5 of the Fair, Efficient and Open Competition Regulation (the FEOC Regulation) requires that the MSA publish certain metrics that reflect the percentage of offer control held by market participants, at least annually. In September 2009 the MSA published a Market Share Offer Control Process,¹ which included information on how the MSA would collect data and what information would be published. The process document also set the timing of the annual assessment to be between April and June of each year.

2 Assessment of Market Share Offer Control 2011

In accordance with the process the MSA posted a notice on its website in early May 2011 requesting any market participants with offer control greater than 5% on April 21, 2011 to provide information by May 20, 2011.² Six market participants responded to the information request. The offer control of each is shown in the Table below.

	Offer Control (MW)	Offer Control (%)
ATCO	1392	10.6
Balancing Pool	743	5.7
Capital Power	1390	10.6
ENMAX	1826	13.9
TransAlta	2088	15.9
TransCanada	2496	19.0
Other	2011	15.3
(Not required to offer to Pool)	1169	8.9
Total	13114	100

Table 2.1: Market Share Offer Control (April 21, 2011)

As per the MSA's process further details are provided in the Appendix: a list of selected associates of a market participant and the individual assets under a market participant's control. The definition of 'market participant' in Section 5 of the *FEOC Regulation* is broader than that in the *Electric Utilities Act* and some entities have a large number of associates. For this reason the list of associates for a market participant should not be considered as complete, rather it is meant to be indicative of an entity's associations with the Power Pool. The MSA also notes that in some cases associates do not individually hold 'ultimate offer control' over any assets.

The MSA's method of calculating *Market Share Offer Control* was detailed in the MSA's September 2009 "Market Share Offer Control Process." According to that process where more than one market participant has offer control of an asset the offer control of minimum stable generation is shared based on the proportion of dispatchable generation controlled. A participant's total offer control is measured as the ratio of megawatts under its control to the total megawatts of generation in Alberta, as per Section 5(2) of *FEOC Regulation*.

 $^{^{\}rm 1}$ Market Share Offer Control Process, September 24, 2009.

² Information Request Market Share Offer Control, May 4, 2011

The offer control listed in the table above includes offer control at Keephills 3 (KH3). At the time of the assessment (April 21, 2011) the unit was not commissioned but did have a maximum capability of 450MW declared and consequently was included in the assessment. The table above also includes the offer control associated with Sundance 1 and 2 (SD1, SD2). The PPA owner for these units has issued a notice of a *force majeure* and a notice of termination for destruction under the terms of the PPA. During Q1/11, the PPA buyer for these units provided notice that it intended to dispute both notices. At the time of the assessment these units had maximum capability values declared and are consequently included in the offer control assessment.

In a few cases, market participants submitted maximum capability (MC) values for assets different from those contained in the list attached to the MSA's May 4, 2011 notice. The MSA advised participants that for purposes of the assessment the MC value should be consistent with that declared to the AESO on April 21, 2011 (the date of the assessment) since this is also equal to the total offers made on that day. If the MC of the unit changes or the values declared to the AESO are in error, the change should be made to the value submitted to the AESO and would be reflected in future MSA offer control reports. Submissions made to the MSA on offer control would not result in a change in MC. Values in the table above are based on the offer control submissions of participants but use MC values declared to the AESO.

3 2010 – 2011 Offer Control Comparison

Over the past year total Maximum Capability (MC) increased from 12488 MW to 13114 MW, a change of 5.01 percent (626MW). The major changes to MC from the previous year are the addition of 450MW at Keephills 3 (KH3), 66MW at Ardenville Wind (ARD1) and 82MW at Ghost Pine (NEP1). Stakeholders interested in a complete list of changes from 2010 to 2011 can compare the lists attached to the MSA information requests for offer control data in each year.

The percentage change in offer control for each participant is summarized by the table below.

Table 3.1: Year to Year Comparison

	Offer Control (%) 2010	Offer Control (%) 2011	Difference (%)
	[A]	[B]	[C]=[B]-[A]
ATCO	11.1	10.6	-0.5
Balancing Pool	6.0	5.7	-0.3
Capital Power	9.3	10.6	1.3
ENMAX	14.6	13.9	-0.7
TransAlta	14.9	15.9	1.0
TransCanada	20.0	19.0	-1.0
Other	15.9	15.3	-0.6
(Not required to offer to			
Pool)	8.1	8.9	0.8

4 Future Updates

The next annual assessment is planned for the period between April and June 2012. Market participants are reminded that should offer control change mid-year they may be required to submit updated information. For further details see Section 3 of the *Market Share Offer Control Process.*³ Participants submitting information mid-year should use the excel spreadsheet attached to the May 4, 2011 notice.⁴ The MSA is not intending to conduct any formal review of the *Market Share Offer Control Process* at this time. The AESO continues to evaluate options to track market participant offer control (based on the requirements in Section 6(2)(a) of the Regulation). The primary option under consideration would not remove the need for MSA information requests on offer control.⁵

³ Market Share Offer Control Process, September 24, 2009.

⁴ Information Request Market Share Offer Control, May 4, 2011

 $^{^{\}scriptscriptstyle 5}$ Merit Order Offer Control Reporting, November 24, 2010

Appendix A: Detailed Offer Control

Table A.1: ATCO

	Market Participant (as defined in Section 5(1)(a) of the Fair, Efficient, Open Competition		
	Regulation)		
ATCO	ATCO Power		
AICO	ATCO Electric		
Asset Short Name	Offer Control (MW)		
Assets wi	th sole offer control		
PR1	95		
APS1	195		
PH1	48		
MKR1	202		
RB5	50		
RL1	47		
RB1	30		
RB2	40		
RB3	20		
ST1	8		
ST2	8		
JOF1	474		
VVW1	50		
VVW2	50		
OMRH	32		
Assets with multiple offer control			
BR3	3		
BR4	13		
BR5	27		
TOTAL	1392 MW (10.6%)		

Table A.2: Balancing Pool

	Market Participant (as defined in Section 5(1)(a) of the Fair, Efficient, Open Competition Regulation)	
Balancing Pool	Balancing Pool	
Asset Short Name	Offer Control (MW)	
Assets with multiple offer control		
GN1	372	
GN2	372	
TOTAL	743 MW (5.7%)	

Table A.3: Capital Power

	Market Participant (as defined in Section 5(1)(a) of the Fair, Efficient, Open Competition Regulation)
	Capital Power Corporation
	Capital Power PPA Management Inc.
	Capital Power (Alberta) Limited Partnership
Capital Power	Capital Power (G3) Limited Partnership
	CP Energy Marketing L.P.
	Capital Power L.P.
	Capital Power (K3) Limited Partnership
Asset Short Name	Offer Control (MW)
Assets with sole offer control	
ENC1	48
ENC2	101
ENC3	101
Assets with multiple offer control	
SD5	322
SD6	331
GN1	18
GN2	18
GN3	225
KH3	225
TOTAL	1390 MW (10.6%)

Table A.4: ENMAX

	Market Participant (as defined in Section 5(1)(a)	
	of the Fair, Efficient, Open Competition	
	Regulation)	
	ENMAX Energy Corporation	
	ENMAX Energy Marketing Inc.	
ENMAX	ENMAX Green Power Inc.	
	Calgary Energy Center No. 2 Inc.	
	ENMAX PPA Management Inc.	
Asset Short Name	Offer Control (MW)	
Assets with sole offer control		
CAL1	300	
CRS1	40	
CRS2	40	
CRS3	40	
Assets with multiple offer control		
KH1	376	
KH2	376	
BR3	149	
BR4	143	
BR5	362	
TOTAL	1826 MW (13.9%)	

Table A.5: TransAlta

	Market Participant (as defined in Section 5(1)(a)
	of the Fair, Efficient, Open Competition
	Regulation)
	TransAlta Generation Partnership
	Canadian Hydro Developers Inc.
TransAlta	Canadian Gas and Electric Inc.
Transmita	TransAlta Energy Marketing Corp
	TransAlta Corporation
Asset Short Name	Offer Control (MW)
Assets wi	th sole offer control
BIG	120
BOW1	320
BRA	350
GPEC	27
SCR1	540
TAY1	14
Assets with	multiple offer control
GN3	225
KH1	14
KH2	14
KH3	225
SD4	84
SD5	84
SD6	70
TOTAL	2088 MW (15.9%)

Table A.6: TransCanada

	Market Participant (as defined in Section 5(1)(a) of the Fair, Efficient, Open Competition Regulation) TransCanada Energy Ltd.	
TransCanada	ASTC Power Partnership Cancarb Limited	
Asset Short Name	Offer Control (MW)	
	with sole offer control	
BCRK	58	
BCR2	36	
MKRC	185	
SD1	288	
SD2	288	
SD3	362	
TC01	95	
TC02	46	
SH1	390	
SH2	390	
ССМН	36	
Assets with multiple offer control		
SD4	322	
TOTAL	2496 MW (19.0%)	

References

Market Surveillance Administrator

 $Market \ Share \ Offer \ Control \ Process, September \ 24, 2009. \\ \underline{http://albertamsa.ca/uploads/pdf/Archive/2009/Market%20Shares%20Process%20%20092409.pdf}$

Information Request Market Share Offer Control, May 4, 2011 http://albertamsa.ca/index.php?page=notice-information-request-market-share-offer-control

Alberta Electric System Operator

Merit Order Offer Control Reporting, November 24, 2010 http://www.aeso.ca/downloads/Merit_Order_Offer_Control_Reporting_Recommendation_Paper_FINAL.pdf